

salam Hornat

Mardiana E.Fachry

On Wednesday, January 15, 2020, 12:06:42 PM GMT+7, Mike Rimmer <mike.rimmer.ind@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Ibu Mardiana

Can you please sign the attached letter (in regard to our joint paper in 'Aquaculture') and return the signed letter to us. Prof. Ketut requires this letter to support his research position.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Best regards

Mike

Mike Rimmer

Senior Research Fellow – Aquaculture
School of Science and Engineering

[University of the Sunshine Coast](#)

Tel: 0478 038040; Int: +61 478 038040
mrimmer@usc.edu.au; mike.rimmer.ind@gmail.com



cid:image001.png@01D265D6.F557BBA0

University of the Sunshine Coast
CRICOS Provider No. 01595D

Ref: AQUA_2017_2167
Title: The role of small-holder seed supply in commercial mariculture in South-east Asia
Journal: Aquaculture

Dear Dr. Rimmer,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Aquaculture. I have received comments from reviewers on your manuscript. Your paper should become acceptable for publication pending suitable minor revision and modification of the article in light of the appended reviewer comments.

When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed.

To submit your revised manuscript:

- Log into EVISE® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jsp?JRNL_ACR=AQUA
- Locate your manuscript under the header 'My Submissions that need Revisions' on your 'My Author Tasks' view
- Click on 'Agree to Revise'
- Make the required edits
- Click on 'Complete Submission' to approve

What happens next?

After you approve your submission preview you will receive a notification that the submission is complete. To track the status of your paper throughout the editorial process, log in to EVISE® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jsp?JRNL_ACR=AQUA.

Enrich your article to present your research with maximum impact. This journal supports the following [Content Innovations](#):

- Explain your research in your own words and attract interest in your work using [AudioSlides](#) : 5-minute webcast-style presentations that are displayed next to your published article and can be posted on other websites. You will receive an invitation email to create an AudioSlides presentation within three weeks after your paper has been accepted.
- [Interactive Plots](#): Interactive plot viewer providing easy access to the data behind plots. Please prepare a [.CSV](#) file with your plot data and test it online [here](#) before submitting as supplementary material.

Data in Brief (optional)

We invite you to convert your supplementary data (or a part of it) into a Data in Brief article. Data in Brief articles are descriptions of the data and associated metadata which are normally buried in supplementary material. They are actively reviewed, curated, formatted, indexed, given a DOI and freely available to all upon publication. Data in Brief should be uploaded with your revised manuscript directly to Aquaculture. If your Aquaculture research article is accepted, your Data in Brief article will automatically be transferred over to our new, fully Open Access journal, Data in Brief, where it will be editorially reviewed and published as a separate data article upon acceptance. The Open Access fee for Data in Brief is \$500.

Please just fill in the template found here:

http://www.elsevier.com/inca/publications/misc/dib_data%20article%20template_for%20other%20journals.docx. Then, place all Data in Brief files (whichever supplementary files you would like to include as well as your completed Data in Brief template) into a .zip file and upload this as a Data in Brief item alongside your Aquaculture revised manuscript. Note that only this Data in Brief item will be transferred over to Data in Brief, so ensure all of your relevant Data in Brief documents are zipped into a single file. Also, make sure you change references to supplementary material in your Aquaculture manuscript to reference the Data in Brief article where appropriate.

Questions? Please send your inquiries to dib@elsevier.com. Example Data in Brief can be found here: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/23523409>

MethodsX (optional)

We invite you to submit a method article alongside your research article. This is an opportunity to get full credit for the time and money you have spent on developing research methods, and to increase the visibility and impact of your work. If your research article is accepted, your method article will be automatically transferred over to the open access journal, MethodsX, where it will be editorially reviewed and published as a separate method article upon acceptance. Both articles will be linked on ScienceDirect. Please use the MethodsX template available here when preparing your article: <https://www.elsevier.com/MethodsX-template>. Open access fees apply.

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

Dr bossier
Managing Guest Editor
Aquaculture

Comments from the editors and reviewers:

from the editor: please do not forget to implement line numbering to facilitate the reviewing process.

-Reviewer 1

-

An excellent piece of field based research.

A few comments:

1. Section 3. Seedstock from wild capture. I found it strange to start this section with a more recently developed culture system than the one long established, milkfish. I recommend starting with finfish rather than lobsters
2. The conversion of IDR to USD is inconsistent and should be rectified, including Table 4
3. Beneath Table 3, suggest giving the reason for the preference of specific hatchery production in the two following paragraphs
4. First line in Section 'Occurrence of disease...', suggest change the rather strange word 'nominated' to 'reported'
5. As for point 4 in following paragraph
6. 9. Discussion. Paragraph 5. Hasn't Indonesia has been the major producer of milkfish fingerlings for a long time, especially export to the Philippines? I was told the latter when I visited a large-scale Taiwanese milkfish hatchery in Bali during the WAS meeting about a decade ago. The word 'now' may not convey the whole story.

-Reviewer 2

-

- In Highlights - "...hatcheries in is considerable.."
- Suggest deleting the qualifier at the start of the Introduction "Although aquaculture is developing globally,..."
- In Introduction, suggest "...The paper provides some original data.."
- In Section 3, tropical spiny lobsters, "Dao, Jones (2015)" presumably should be "Dao and Jones (2015)"
- In that same paragraph, can you provide figures for the first sale price of lobster seedstock?
- In Section 3, 4th paragraph, why the "formerly" in "Lobster seed collection was formerly widespread in Indonesia". I suggest either explain the context of the sentence, or delete it.
- In Section 3, Finfish, the following two sentences require clarification. Do you mean "whereas Indonesian hatcheries sell fingerlings of other *Epinephelus* species..." or "of "preferred *Epinephelus* species"? Or is the price differential due to preference for hatchery-reared fry over wild-caught fry? If the latter, can you provide a reason for the preference?
"Wild-caught *E. cooides* fingerlings (1.5–2.0 cm TL) are sold from brackishwater pond nurseries at IDR 300–1,700 per fish (Komarudin et al., 2010), whereas Indonesian hatcheries sell fingerlings of *Epinephelus* spp. for about IDR 1,500–2,000 per fish. Because the wild-caught fry sell for a lower price, there is little incentive for hatcheries to produce this species."
- Table 1 legend. Suggest dropping the word "disaggregated". Why would you aggregate the data then disaggregate it? So...
"Number of small-scale (i.e. without broodstock) and 'complete' (i.e. with broodstock) hatcheries, number of larval rearing tanks and number of broodstock tanks, disaggregated by in villages in Buleleng Regency, Bali, Indonesia."
- Table 2 legend – presumably you mean "...potential annual production of grouper fingerlings".
- Section 7 Grouper, 2nd paragraph – "with estimated production ranged from of 40-65 million..".
- Section 8 first paragraph – drop the reference to Table 1.
- Section 8, third last paragraph (The main sources of technical information regarding hatchery owner (18%) and equipment / input suppliers (12%))– is it necessary -it seems superfluous to me.

- Section 9 Discussion - the reasons for the Indonesian government's ban on collection of lobster seedstock should be explained, or at least the context elaborated, as the ban seemingly runs counter to the information provided on the benefits of lobster seedstock collection.
- Section 9 Discussion, 5th paragraph. Suggest dropping "however" at the start. And the final sentence would be reworked to eliminate the apparent tautology. Perhaps something like "As seedstock for grouper aquaculture are sourced from wild-capture fisheries and from hatchery production (Rimmer and Glamuzina, in press), both small-holder fishers and small-scale hatchery operators continue to be important component of grouper aquaculture supply chains".
- Section 9 Discussion, final paragraph is a blunt ending to the paper, largely because the implications of the lack of formal education and training are not discussed. Consider either deleting it; or (my preference) moving it to the end of a paragraph 2 pages above, to give...
 "The main sources of technical information regarding hatchery procedures (71%) were from staff of IMRAD Gondol (the local government research and development institute), followed by the hatchery owner (18%) and equipment / input suppliers (12%). Another aspect of small-scale hatcheries is the lack of formal aquaculture education and training. Of the 17 hatcheries surveyed, only 2 (12%) had staff with formal education in aquaculture. Seven hatcheries (41%) had provided staff with procedural training (not specific to fish health and biosecurity) either onsite or at IMRAD Gondol."

Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our [Customer Support](#) site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. | [Privacy Policy](#)

Elsevier B.V., Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Reg. No. 33156677.

Ref: AQUA_2017_2167_R1

Title: The role of small-holder seed supply in commercial mariculture in South-east Asia

Journal: Aquaculture

Dear Dr. Rimmer,

I am pleased to inform you that your paper has been accepted for publication. My own comments as well as any reviewer comments are appended to the end of this letter. Now that your manuscript has been accepted for publication it will proceed to copy-editing and production.

Thank you for submitting your work to Aquaculture. We hope you consider us again for future submissions.

Kind regards,

Barry Costa-Pierce
 Editor-in-Chief
 Aquaculture

Comments from the editors and reviewers:

Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our [Customer Support](#) site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. | [Privacy Policy](#)

Elsevier B.V., Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Reg. No. 33156677.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Peter bossier (Aquaculture)" <EviseSupport@elsevier.com>

To: <mike.rimmer.ind@gmail.com>

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2018 22:10:06 +1100

Subject: Revision requested for AQUA_2017_2167

Ref: AQUA_2017_2167

Title: The role of small-holder seed supply in commercial mariculture in South-east Asia

Journal: Aquaculture

Dear Dr. Rimmer,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Aquaculture. I have received comments from reviewers on your manuscript. Your paper should become acceptable for publication pending suitable minor revision and modification of the article in light of the appended reviewer comments.

When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed.

To submit your revised manuscript:

- Log into EVISE® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jsp?JRNL_ACR=AQUA
- Locate your manuscript under the header 'My Submissions that need Revisions' on your 'My Author Tasks' view
- Click on 'Agree to Revise'
- Make the required edits
- Click on 'Complete Submission' to approve

What happens next?

After you approve your submission preview you will receive a notification that the submission is complete. To track the status of your paper throughout the editorial process, log in to EVISE® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jsp?JRNL_ACR=AQUA.

Enrich your article to present your research with maximum impact. This journal supports the following [Content Innovations](#):

- Explain your research in your own words and attract interest in your work using [AudioSlides](#) : 5-minute webcast-style presentations that are displayed next to your published article and can be posted on other websites. You will receive an invitation email to create an AudioSlides presentation within three weeks after your paper has been accepted.
- [Interactive Plots](#): Interactive plot viewer providing easy access to the data behind plots. Please prepare a [.CSV](#) file with your plot data and test it online [here](#) before submitting as supplementary material.

Data in Brief (optional)

We invite you to convert your supplementary data (or a part of it) into a Data in Brief article. Data in Brief articles are descriptions of the data and associated metadata which are normally buried in supplementary material. They are actively reviewed, curated, formatted, indexed, given a DOI and freely available to all upon publication. Data in Brief should be uploaded with your revised manuscript directly to Aquaculture. If your Aquaculture research article is accepted, your Data in Brief article will automatically be transferred over to our new, fully Open Access journal, Data in Brief, where it will be editorially reviewed and published as a separate data article upon acceptance. The Open Access fee for Data in Brief is \$500.

Please just fill in the template found here:

http://www.elsevier.com/inca/publications/misc/dib_data%20article%20template_for%20other%20journals.docx. Then, place all Data in Brief files (whichever supplementary files you would like to include as well as your completed Data in Brief template) into a .zip file and upload this as a Data in Brief item alongside your Aquaculture revised manuscript. Note that only this Data in Brief item will be transferred over to Data in Brief, so ensure all of your relevant Data in Brief documents are zipped into a single file. Also, make sure you change references to supplementary material in your Aquaculture manuscript to reference the Data in Brief article where appropriate.

Questions? Please send your inquiries to dib@elsevier.com. Example Data in Brief can be found here: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/23523409>

MethodsX (optional)

We invite you to submit a method article alongside your research article. This is an opportunity to get full credit for the time and money you have spent on developing research methods, and to increase the visibility and impact of your work. If your research article is accepted, your method article will be automatically transferred over to the open access journal, MethodsX, where it will be editorially reviewed and published as a separate method article upon acceptance. Both articles will be linked on ScienceDirect. Please use the MethodsX template available here when preparing your article: <https://www.elsevier.com/MethodsX-template>. Open access fees apply.

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

Dr bossier
Managing Guest Editor
Aquaculture

Comments from the editors and reviewers:

from the editor: please do not forget to implement line numbering to facilitate the reviewing process.

-Reviewer 1

-

An excellent piece of field based research.

A few comments:

1. Section 3. Seedstock from wild capture. I found it strange to start this section with a more recently developed culture system than the one long established, milkfish. I recommend starting with finfish rather than lobsters
2. The conversion of IDR to USD is inconsistent and should be rectified, including Table 4
3. Beneath Table 3, suggest giving the reason for the preference of specific hatchery production in the two following paragraphs
4. First line in Section 'Occurrence of disease...', suggest change the rather strange word 'nominated' to 'reported'
5. As for point 4 in following paragraph
6. 9. Discussion. Paragraph 5. Hasn't Indonesia has been the major producer of milkfish fingerlings for a long time, especially export to the Philippines? I was told the latter when I visited a large-scale Taiwanese milkfish hatchery in Bali during the WAS meeting about a decade ago. The word 'now' may not convey the whole story.

-Reviewer 2

-

- In Highlights - "...hatcheries in is considerable.."
- Suggest deleting the qualifier at the start of the Introduction "Although aquaculture is developing globally,..."
- In Introduction, suggest "...The paper provides some original data.."
- In Section 3, tropical spiny lobsters, "Dao, Jones (2015)" presumably should be "Dao and Jones (2015)"
- In that same paragraph, can you provide figures for the first sale price of lobster seedstock?
- In Section 3, 4th paragraph, why the "formerly" in "Lobster seed collection was formerly widespread in Indonesia". I suggest either explain the context of the sentence, or delete it.
- In Section 3, Finfish, the following two sentences require clarification. Do you mean "whereas Indonesian hatcheries sell fingerlings of other *Epinephelus* species..." or "of "preferred *Epinephelus* species"? Or is the price

differential due to preference for hatchery-reared fry over wild-caught fry? If the latter, can you provide a reason for the preference?

"Wild-caught *E. coiooides* fingerlings (1.5–2.0 cm TL) are sold from brackishwater pond nurseries at IDR 300–1,700 per fish (Komarudin et al., 2010), whereas Indonesian hatcheries sell fingerlings of *Epinephelus* spp. for about IDR 1,500–2,000 per fish. Because the wild-caught fry sell for a lower price, there is little incentive for hatcheries to produce this species."

- Table I legend. Suggest dropping the word "disaggregated". Why would you aggregate the data then disaggregate it? So...
"Number of small-scale (i.e. without broodstock) and 'complete' (i.e. with broodstock) hatcheries, number of larval rearing tanks and number of broodstock tanks, disaggregated by in villages in Buleleng Regency, Bali, Indonesia."
- Table 2 legend – presumably you mean "...potential annual production of grouper fingerlings".
- Section 7 Grouper, 2nd paragraph – "with estimated production ranged from of 40-65 million..".
- Section 8 first paragraph – drop the reference to Table 1.
- Section 8, third last paragraph (The main sources of technical information regarding hatchery owner (18%) and equipment / input suppliers (12%))– is it necessary -it seems superfluous to me.
- Section 9 Discussion - the reasons for the Indonesian government's ban on collection of lobster seedstock should be explained, or at least the context elaborated, as the ban seemingly runs counter to the information provided on the benefits of lobster seedstock collection.
- Section 9 Discussion, 5th paragraph. Suggest dropping "however" at the start. And the final sentence would be reworked to eliminate the apparent tautology. Perhaps something like "As seedstock for grouper aquaculture are sourced from wild-capture fisheries and from hatchery production (Rimmer and Glamuzina, in press), both small-holder fishers and small-scale hatchery operators continue to be important component of grouper aquaculture supply chains".
- Section 9 Discussion, final paragraph is a blunt ending to the paper, largely because the implications of the lack of formal education and training are not discussed. Consider either deleting it; or (my preference) moving it to the end of a paragraph 2 pages above, to give...
"The main sources of technical information regarding hatchery procedures (71%) were from staff of IMRAD Gondol (the local government research and development institute), followed by the hatchery owner (18%) and equipment / input suppliers (12%). Another aspect of small-scale hatcheries is the lack of formal aquaculture education and training. Of the 17 hatcheries surveyed, only 2 (12%) had staff with formal education in aquaculture. Seven hatcheries (41%) had provided staff with procedural training (not specific to fish health and biosecurity) either onsite or at IMRAD Gondol."

Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our [Customer Support](#) site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. | [Privacy Policy](#)

Elsevier B.V., Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Reg. No. 33156677.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Barry Costa-Pierce (Aquaculture)" <EviseSupport@elsevier.com>

To: <mike.rimmer.ind@gmail.com>

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2018 04:11:03 +1100

Subject: Your manuscript AQUA_2017_2167_R1 has been accepted

Ref: AQUA_2017_2167_R1

Title: The role of small-holder seed supply in commercial mariculture in South-east Asia

Journal: Aquaculture

Dear Dr. Rimmer,

I am pleased to inform you that your paper has been accepted for publication. My own comments as well as any reviewer comments are appended to the end of this letter. Now that your manuscript has been accepted for publication it will proceed to copy-editing and production.

Thank you for submitting your work to Aquaculture. We hope you consider us again for future submissions.

Kind regards,

Barry Costa-Pierce
Editor-in-Chief
Aquaculture

Comments from the editors and reviewers:

Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our [Customer Support](#) site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. | [Privacy Policy](#)

Elsevier B.V., Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Reg. No. 33156677.